

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,875	07/07/2003	Fujio Akahane	Q76460	6960	
23373 7:	590 08/15/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			MRUK, GE	MRUK, GEOFFREY S	
SUITE 800	EVANIA AVENOE, N.W.	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		2853 DATE MAILED: 08/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/612,875	AKAHANE, FUJIO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	and
	Geoffrey Mruk	2853	(8)
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Fe	ebruary 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTC	D-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	tion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receiv	ed in this National S	tage
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Add a few and (a)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	v (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	oate	450)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal I 6) ☐ Other:	Patent Application (PTO-	152)
· >	, —		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1, claim 1, drawn to a liquid ejection head, comprising: a metallic reinforcement member, integrally molded with the casing such that at least a part thereof is buried in the casing at the vicinity of the first face.

Species 2, claim 8, drawn to a liquid ejection head comprising: a metallic reinforcement member, disposed between the casing and the cavity unit so as to provide a through hole communicated with the actuator chamber, wherein: the through hole comprises a first part having a first dimension in a second direction perpendicular to the first direction which is substantially equal to a thickness of the fixation plate, and a second part having a second dimension in the first direction which is substantially equal to a dimension between outermost end faces of the piezoelectric elements in the first direction; and the actuator unit is bonded to the reinforcement member, while the fixation plate is accommodated in the first part of the through hole and the piezoelectric elements are accommodated in the second part of the through hole.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Darryl Mexic on 11 August 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey Mruk whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2810. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 330pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GSM 8/11/2005

> MANISH S. SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER

2/11/95